

Table of Contents

Copyright Statement	ii
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Declaration of Originality	vi
Table of Contents	vii
List of Tables	xii
List of Abbreviations	xvi
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Chapter 2: Methodology	12
2.1 Study design.....	12
2.2 Research instruments.....	16
2.3 Permissions and HREC approval	17
2.3.1 Gatekeeper approvals.....	17
2.3.2 HREC approval process	18
2.3.2.1 <i>Modification to include family victims in death matters</i>	18
2.3.2.2 <i>Modification to permit recruitment of subjects via websites</i>	18
2.3.2.3 <i>Modification to include a second interview sample of key VSP</i> ..	19
2.4 The research samples	19
2.4.1 Sample 1: Victims of crime eligible to make a VIS.....	19
2.4.1.1 <i>Method of recruitment</i>	20
2.4.1.2 <i>Outcome of recruitment</i>	21
2.4.2 Sample 2: Victim service professionals	23
2.4.2.1 <i>Method of recruitment</i>	23
2.4.2.2 <i>Outcome of recruitment</i>	23
2.5 VOC sample	24
2.5.1 Data collection	24
2.5.2 Method of coding	25
2.5.2.1 <i>Quantitative analysis</i>	26
2.5.2.2 <i>Qualitative analysis</i>	27
2.5.3 Timeframe.....	27
2.6 VSP sample.....	27
2.6.1 Data collection	27
2.6.2 Method of coding	28
2.6.2.1 <i>Quantitative analysis</i>	28
2.6.2.2 <i>Qualitative analysis</i>	29
2.6.3 Timeframe.....	29
2.7 Method of analysis	29
2.7.1 Quantitative analysis.....	29
2.7.2 Qualitative analysis	30
2.8 Ethical considerations.....	31
2.8.1 VOC sample	31
2.8.2 VSP sample	35
2.9 Confidentiality and privacy.....	35

2.10 Strengths of the study design and methodology	36
2.11 Limitations of the study	38
Chapter 3: Quantitative Results	43
3.1 VOC participants	43
3.1.1 Description of the sample	43
3.1.1.1 Gender	44
3.1.1.2 VIS and non-VIS makers	45
3.1.1.3 Age demographic	47
3.1.1.4 Country of birth, ethnic background, language spoken at home and crime category	48
3.1.1.5 Knowledge of offender	48
3.1.2 Effects of the crime on VOC	49
3.1.2.1 Medical consequences of the crime	49
3.1.2.2 Financial consequences of the crime	50
3.1.2.3 Psychological consequences of the crime	51
3.1.2.4 Psychological issues and crime category	51
3.1.2.5 Use of a counselling service	53
3.1.3 Awareness and understanding of the VIS	54
3.1.3.1 Dissemination of VIS information	54
3.1.3.2 Factors affecting the ability of VOC to comprehend VIS information	54
3.1.3.3 VOC understanding of the purpose of VIS	56
3.1.3.4 VOC understanding of the effect of a VIS on sentencing	57
3.1.4 Writing a VIS	59
3.1.4.1 Initial response to opportunity to make a VIS	59
3.1.4.2 Non-VIS makers: reasons given for not making a VIS	59
3.1.4.3 Authorship of the VIS	60
3.1.4.4 Type of assistance VOC required in writing the VIS	61
3.1.4.5 Is support useful for VOC when writing the VIS?	62
3.1.4.6 Adequacy of preparation time to write the VIS	62
3.1.4.7 The emotional experience of writing the VIS	63
3.1.4.8 Challenging factors for VOC when writing the VIS	63
3.1.4.9 Was writing the VIS a positive experience?	64
3.1.5 The VIS in court	65
3.1.5.1 Awareness of how the VIS would be presented in court	65
3.1.5.2 Awareness of whether the offender would have access to the VIS	66
3.1.5.3 Process of court matter: guilty plea or trial	66
3.1.5.4 Charge negotiations	67
3.1.5.5 Editing the VIS	68
3.1.5.6 Who edits the VIS?	68
3.1.5.7 Cross-examination of the VIS	69
3.1.5.8 VIS read out or handed up in court	71
3.1.5.9 Judicial response to VIS	72
3.1.5.10 Offender response to VIS	73
3.1.6 Support and satisfaction	74
3.1.6.1 Support given to VOC during the VIS/sentencing process	74
3.1.6.2 Satisfaction with presentation of VIS, the VIS process and sentencing outcome for VOC	75

3.1.6.3 <i>Happiness with the contents of the VIS over time and decision to make a VIS again with hindsight</i>	77
3.2 VSP sample.....	77
3.2.1 Description of the sample	77
3.2.2 VIS response rates	78
3.2.3 Understandings of the VIS.....	79
3.2.3.1 <i>VSP understandings of the purpose of the VIS</i>	79
3.2.3.2 <i>VSP experience of the purpose of the VIS from their client's perspective</i>	79
3.2.3.3 <i>VSP experience of VIS and its effect on sentence</i>	81
3.2.3.4 <i>Factors that affect VOC making a VIS</i>	81
3.2.4 Writing the VIS.....	83
3.2.4.1 <i>VSP Experience of type of assistance requested by VOC with preparation of VIS</i>	83
3.2.5 The VIS in court.....	84
3.2.5.1 <i>Editing of the VIS</i>	84
3.2.5.2 <i>Who edits the VIS?</i>	84
3.2.5.3 <i>Fear of cross-examination</i>	85
3.2.5.4 <i>VSP experience of numbers of VIS read in court</i>	85
3.2.5.5 <i>Importance of judicial and defendant response to VIS</i>	86
3.2.6 Satisfaction levels	87
3.2.6.1 <i>Factors providing satisfaction for VOC at sentencing</i>	87
3.2.6.2 <i>The importance of VIS as a provision for VOC</i>	88
3.3 Summary	88
Chapter 4: The Decision to Make a VIS	101
4.1 VIS motivators	102
4.1.1 To inform the court of the impact	102
4.1.2 To redress the balance in sentencing proceedings.....	104
4.1.3 To reveal the personhood of the deceased victim	105
4.1.4 To reveal the personhood of the primary victim, to include shows of strength and resilience	111
4.1.5 To inform the offender of the impact	114
4.1.6 To create community awareness	117
4.1.7 To fulfil one's duty to the deceased and the prosecution	120
4.1.8 To affect sentence	121
4.1.9 To achieve catharsis	128
4.2 VIS barriers	130
4.2.1 Lack of desire to continue with the criminal justice process post-conviction	130
4.2.2 Awareness of the VIS in the Local Court	131
4.2.3 Reaction of family members, victim support agencies and prosecutors to the VIS.....	134
4.2.4 Not wanting the offender to know the consequences of the impact of the crime.....	135
4.2.5 Fear of retribution from the offender, their family or shared community	136
4.2.6 Ambivalence: complications of victim/offender relationships, and matters where death or injury is due to offender negligence	138
4.2.7 Trauma	140

4.2.8 Shame, literacy and language and perceived intellectual inadequacy	141
4.2.9 Fear of cross-examination	147
4.3 Summary	149
Chapter 5: The Experience of Making a VIS	150
5.1 Writing the VIS	150
5.1.1 Family victims	152
5.1.2 Primary victims	156
5.1.3 Perceptions of appropriateness of VIS content and emotionality	160
5.1.3.1 <i>Primary victims</i>	160
5.1.3.2 <i>Family victims</i>	163
5.1.4 The media.....	164
5.2 Supports available to victims when drafting the VIS.....	166
5.3 Editing the VIS.....	169
5.3.1 Editing the VIS: Stage 1.....	170
5.3.2 Editing the VIS: Stage 2.....	173
5.3.3 Charge negotiations.....	175
5.4 Presentation of the VIS.....	177
5.4.1 Significance for VOC of the VIS in sentencing proceedings	177
5.4.2 Timing in sentencing proceedings and position in court from which the VIS is read.....	180
5.5 Offender reaction to the VIS	182
5.6 Judicial reaction to the VIS	185
5.7 Gender	189
5.8 Satisfaction.....	190
5.9 Summary	191
Chapter 6: Testaments of Transformation.....	192
6.1 Therapeutic benefits of the VIS	192
6.2 Findings of interest and areas of concern.....	195
6.2.1 Barriers affecting engagement with the VIS process	197
6.2.2 Editing the VIS and VIS influence on consistency of sentencing	198
6.2.3 Issues of clarity in VIS legislation and changes to allow family VIS to be considered in sentencing.....	201
6.2.4 Concern regarding lack of support for vulnerable, traumatised or culturally isolated victims when making a VIS	203
6.3 Recommendations and potential for future research	204
6.4 Contribution to existing research	206
References.....	208
Appendices.....	227
Appendix 1: Additional quantitative data tables and explanation.....	227
Appendix 2: Recommendations.....	244
Appendix 3: Resources	246
Appendix 4A: VOC participant information sheet and participant consent form	251
Appendix 4B: VOC telephone questionnaire: VIS makers.....	255
Appendix 4C: VOC telephone questionnaire: non-VIS makers	263
Appendix 5A: VSP participant information sheet and participant consent form	269
Appendix 5B: VSP face-to-face interview questionnaire	273

Appendix 6: VIS presentation in death matters: gender and relationship to the deceased.....	275
Appendix 7: Additional qualitative findings	277
Appendix 8: The NSW victim impact statement described	279